UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/770,889	02/02/2004	Thomas Bodily	00234-21619.NP	1093
	7590 11/15/2007 TH & WESTERN, LLP.	EXAMINER		
8180 SOUTH 7	700 EAST, SUITE 350		MATHEW, FENN C	
SANDY, UT 8	4070		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3764	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·		10/770,889	BODILY ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Fenn C. Mathew	3764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Au	<u>ıgust 2007</u> .				
,)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
• —	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4; 5)□ 0 6)⊠ 0 7)□ 0	Claim(s) 1-3,6-11,13-19 and 21-24 is/are pend a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,6-11,13-19 and 21-24 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)□ T <i>F</i>	he specification is objected to by the Examine he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct he oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is a	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 7, 8, 10, 15, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Perry (U.S. 5,163,890). Please refer to paragraph 2 of the office action dated May 13, 2007. Note language requires seat back to be *maintainable* in a vertical position. A user could utilize their own balance and strength to maintain the device in a vertical position. Applicant has failed to positively claim <u>structure</u>, which would maintain the seat back in a vertical position.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 6, 9-10, 13-14, 17-19, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahvi in view of Atwood (U.S. 5,122,106). Please refer to paragraph 4 of the above cited office action. Note that Mahvi is capable of being rearwardly inclined and that the seat back does extend above the leg board.
- 5. Claims 3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perry alone. Please refer to paragraph 5 of the above cited office action.

2

Art Unit: 3764

6. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahvi in view of Atwood as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Wolff (U.S. 4,654,205). Please refer to paragraph 7 of the above cited office action.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 08/20/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to Perry are not persuasive. As noted above, the language states 'maintainable in a substantially vertical position...', but fails to teach the device maintained in a position, and further fails to claim *how* it is maintained in such a position. The lack of positively recited structure fails to distinguish the current claims from Perry. With respect to arguments drawn to Mahvi and Atwood, Applicant's arguments amount to an individual attack on the references, and therefore is not persuasive.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fenn C. Mathew whose telephone number is (571) 272-4978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FENN C. MATHEW PRIMARY EXAMINER

November 13, 2007